

The Virus Problem

CSE 5211 Analysis of Algorithms

Spring 2017 (April 26, 2017)

Dave Evans presents a nice description of the *virus problem* (VP), see [On the Impossibility of Virus Detection](#) *

* Evans, D. (2017). On the impossibility of virus detection

Definition 1: Virus

A virus is a computer program that when executed will copy its own code into another program.

Problem 1: Virus Problem

Input: A description of a program P and its input x .

Output: If $P(x)$ behaves like a virus (running it can infect other files) output *True*. Otherwise, output *False*.

Assume there a program, `virusDetect`, that *decides* the Virus Problem (VP).

Listing 1: Virus Detection

```
1  ⟨Virus Detection 1⟩≡
   virusDetect(program P, input x) {
       if (P(x) acts like a virus) then true;
       else false;
   }
```

A Diagonalization Argument

Assume there is a virus V . (Imagine how such a program could be written). Mimic the argument given in class and the notes to show there cannot be a program that decides the virus problem (VP).

That is, write a program, call it $D(\text{program } P)$ if you like, that uses `virusDetect`, to create a contradiction.

Answer: The idea behind D is to use `virusDetect` to check if the input P is a virus, and then do the opposite. That is,

If P is a virus, $D(P)$ simply halts without executing a virus.

If P is not a virus, $D(P)$ executes virus V

Listing 2: Diagonal Counter Virus

2a $\langle \textit{Diagonal Program 2a} \rangle \equiv$ `D(program P) { if (virusDetect(P, P) then halt; else V; }`

Now consider the execution of D on itself.

If D(D) acts like a virus, (That is, if `virusDetect(D, D)=true`), then D(D) halts, That is, D(D) never executes a virus V.

(If D(D) is a virus, then D(D) does not act like a virus.)

On, the other hand, if D(D) is a not a virus, then D(D) executes virus V acting like a virus.

(If D(D) is not a virus, then D(D) does acts like a virus.)

There are a contradictions in both cases.

Reduction of HP to VP

Spend a few minutes going over Evans' note [On the Impossibility of Virus Detection](#).

Another way to show the virus problem is undecidable is to show HP *reduces* to VP. That is, if VP were decidable, then HP would be decidable. And, since HP is undecidable, VP must be also.

The main idea is to construct a program `makeVirus` that first executes any input program P, and then serially, if P halts, executes a virus V.

Listing 3: Make a Virus

2b $\langle \textit{Make Virus 2b} \rangle \equiv$

```
makeVirus(program P) {
    P;
    V;
}
```

Argue that program `halt` below decides the halting problem. Use this to conclude there cannot be a virus detection decider.

Listing 4: Halting Decider

2c $\langle \textit{Halt Decider 2c} \rangle \equiv$

```
halt(program P) {
    if (virusDetect(makeVirus, P)) then true;
    else false;
}
```

Answer:

If P halts, `makeVirus` will execute virus V and `virusDetect(makeVirus, P)` will return true.

On, the other hand, if P does not halt, then `makeVirus` will never execute V and `virusDetect(makeVirus, P)` will return `false`.

In both cases the halting problem is correctly decided. Since this is not possible, then cannot be a virus detection program. There are a few wrinkles to iron out to fully nail down the argument. See [†].

[†] Evans, D. (2017). On the impossibility of virus detection

References

Evans, D. (2017). On the impossibility of virus detection.